

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q79793

Hirofumi HONDA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/781,722

Group Art Unit: 2629

Confirmation No.: 4189

Examiner: Prabodh M. DHARIA

Filed: February 20, 2004

For: DISPLAY PANEL DRIVER HAVING MULTI-GRAYSCALE PROCESSING
FUNCTION

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the personal interview conducted on August 3, 2007 between Examiner Prabodh M. Dharia and Applicant's representative, Andrew J. Taska, Esq.:

REMARKS

A copy of the Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was given to Applicant's representative on August 3, 2007.

A personal interview was conducted on August 3, 2007 between Examiner Prabodh M. Dharia, of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and Applicant's representative, Andrew J. Taska.

The purpose of the interview was to discuss the Examiner's rejections of claims 1 and 16 as being representative of the major issues in the outstanding Office Action. During the

interview, Applicant presented proposed claim amendments to claims 1 and 16 and the Examiner agreed to perform further search and consideration of Applicant's proposed Amendments.

Applicant's representative also presented arguments that FIG. 4 and FIG. 5 provide adequate support in the original disclosure for the feature of "dividing at least one of said plurality of subfields into M subsubfields," as claimed. The Examiner was generally receptive to such arguments but requested that Applicant formally set forth arguments in Applicant's response to the outstanding Office Action, that point out where this recitation is supported by the original disclosure, so that the Examiner could fully consider these arguments.

During the interview, the Examiner conceded that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) that is listed on page 3 of the 04/16/07 Office Action was included merely as a typographical error and that this error would be corrected in the next office action.

No exhibits or demonstrations were provided and no amendments were proposed by Applicants' representative.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
U.S. Appln. No. 10/781,722

Attorney Docket No.: Q79793

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Andrew J. Taska /

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Andrew J. Taska
Registration No. 54,666

Date: September 17, 2007